

**From:** Michael <michael@theyfly.com>

**Date:** April 2, 2004 11:38:16 AM PST

**To:** James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>, derek@iigwest.com, randi@randi.org, Vaughn@cfiwest.org, Plejarans\_are\_real@yahogroups.com, "alexi"

**Subject: Re: CHECKMATE: RANDI WITHDRAWS CLAIMS AGAINST MEIER CASE!**

Alexi,

There are no beliefs to clarify, I have none. You are too many steps down the road in regards to the situation, i.e. with Randi and CFI-West, which is the matter at hand. Both parties claimed the case was a hoax, i.e. that this was not paranormal phenomena but, again, an "easily duplicated hoax". Randi has since retracted his claim. CFI-West failed to duplicate the evidence, let alone that they refused to have it tested and examined according to the established, credible standards and procedures that Meier's were. Nothing changes these facts, they are a matter of record.

It's that simple. If you want to get into the "what are they?" discussion I'll be happy to do that at the appropriate time relevant to this situation. The fact is that the skeptics have retracted the claim by one party and failed to prove their claim by the other. This will be unrelentingly emphasized, promoted, proclaimed, etc. to meet various ends.

I hope this is clear.

MH

Michael

I am assuming, that you are claiming, that the objects featured on the photographs in question, are space ships, originating from some extra terrestrial civilisation, with technology far in advance of our own. If this is not the case then I have got you completely wrong, in which case, please clarify your beliefs with regard to their origin. If I am not wrong regarding your stance on this matter, can you prove that the beings, piloting the spaceships in question:

- 1) Are not small in stature in comparison to human beings.
- 2) Do not have brightly coloured green skin pigmentation.
- 3) Do not originate from a patriarchal civilisation, dominated by a species that has two sexes, where the male of the species is more likely to be given important jobs, such as off world exploratory missions.

The hub cap theory (originated by myself in a couple of minutes) is merely one of an infinite number of explanations, which can be brought to bear, in order to explain this phenomenon. The explanation that I have attributed to you is another one of this

infinite number of explanations.

That established, lets for the moment, put aside all of the other possible explanations and concentrate on the two explanations detailed above. Now, how do we decide between them? The only logical, scientific method of doing this, that I am aware of, is to apply the scientific principle known as Occam's razor to the problem. This states that, in virtually all cases, the simplest, most logical, explanation of a phenomenon (flying hub caps) is far more likely to be the case, than a more elaborate, complex explanation (visitors from alien civilisations).

Please explain why you feel the need to endorse the elaborate, explanation, that requires reference to new age mythology, over and above the efficient, logical explanation that stands up without reference to such mythology. These are your keys to the conversation.

Alexi

*Michael <michael@theyfly.com>* wrote:  
Alexi,

You wish to be treated with a level of respect you haven't earned. I will answer all your questions but first you do the following:

Prove YOUR claim of hub caps.  
Point out where any claims were made by me regarding "little green men".

These are your keys to the conversation.

MH

> Michael

>

> To recap:

>

> CASE: photographic evidence of manually launched hub caps.

> CASE STATUS: STILL awaiting proof of Extra terrestrial origins.

> CONCLUSIONS / NOTES : In the absence of any compelling evidence

> of little green men, we have to conclude that hub cap explanation is

> vastly more plausible than space alien theory (Occam's razor

> etc).

>

> Please forward the evidence that you have proving the existence of

> aforementioned space aliens, so that the discussion can proceed. I can

> tell that you are finding this difficult Michael, so I am going to  
> give you a couple of pointers.  
>  
> 1) You are letting your bitterness and hatred of James Randi get to  
> you, forget about him, and the million dollar prize, in any case you  
> are in no position to win it at the moment (if ever).  
>  
> 2) From a logical viewpoint you are getting in a bit of a muddle. If I  
> were to tell you about my eight foot tall invisible rabbit friend,  
> called Harvey, you would say to me, "Now just hold up a minute, Alexi,  
> I don't believe that he exists". I might then say to you "prove that  
> he doesn't exist", at which point you might go off for a couple of  
> minutes, and having thought about the matter, come back and say to me  
> "I don't have to prove that he doesn't exist, because you haven't  
> proved that he exists in the first place", and you would have me there  
> ! I would have to go off and come up with some compelling evidence to  
> prove the existence of Harvey. Sadly this is also the case for space  
> aliens.  
>  
> I look forward to that evidence,  
>  
> Alexi  
>  
> Michael wrote:  
> Amateur, worthy of no more.  
>  
> MH  
>  
>  
>> Michael  
>>  
>> I refer you to my previous replies, and await the proof of little  
>> green  
>> men that you claim to have, so that this discussion may progress. I  
>> apologise if I was mistaken in my supposition that you have such  
>> proof. If this is the case, please come clean now and I will not  
>> ridicule you too much.  
>>  
>> Alexi  
>>  
>>  
>> Michael wrote:  
>> To Whom It May Concern,  
>>

>> The following is the response from Professor Bhadeshia to my inquiry  
> as  
>> seen below. A Mr. Tim [last name removed], who has been paraphrasing and  
parroting  
>> new requests from James Randi, has been representing himself as an  
>> associate of the professor's in regards to initiating a new round of  
>> testing on metal alloy samples given to Mr. Billy Meier by his  
>> extraterrestrial contactors, samples of which were tested by Mr.  
> Marcel  
>> Vogel of IBM, and deemed irreproducible, some years ago.  
>>  
>> It is clear from the professor's brief response that there was no  
>> credibility to the claimed association with Mr. Tim [last name removed], nor  
interest  
> on  
>> the professor's part in such a matter.  
>>  
>> It must be publicly stated that, as I had suspected in light of the  
>> somewhat lengthy and demanding correspondence coming from Mr. Tim [last  
name removed],  
>> that he was yet another shill acting on behalf of, or with the tacit  
>> approval of James Randi, CFI-West et al. These aforementioned parties  
>> are clearly out of compliance with the terms of both their own  
>> challenges and the challenge offered by me, and accepted by CFI-West,  
>> some three years ago, a challenge which they have clearly failed to  
>> meet.  
>>  
>> While substantial moneys are, by any ethical and legal standards, now  
>> due Mr. Meier, that should not cause, allow or justify the parties  
> who  
>> are responsible to fulfill those payments to engage in devious means  
> to  
>> avoid such payment, or to attempt to direct the focus of attention  
> away  
>> from the legitimate matter at hand - which is only the aim of the  
>> professional magician - but which most surely is not acceptable  
> conduct  
>> by any people of good character.  
>>  
>> Therefore, it is once again requested that James Randi and CFI-West  
>> comply with the terms of fulfillment of payment for their failed  
>> challenge and retracted claims of hoax. I request that no further  
>> baiting, and attempts to distract or obfuscate the clear nature of  
> this

>> very important matter, be conducted by, or on behalf of, James Randi  
> or  
>> CFI-West.  
>>  
>> Michael Horn  
>> Authorized American Media Representative  
>> The Billy Meier Contacts  
>> www.theyfly.com  
>>  
>>  
>> From: "H.K.D.H. Bhadeshia"  
>> Date: Wed Mar 31, 2004 12:09:05 AM US/Pacific  
>> To: Michael  
>> Subject: Re: Introduction  
>>  
>> Dear Michael,  
>>  
>> I am sorry but this is not my area of interest.  
>>  
>> Harry Bhadeshia  
>>  
>> www.msm.cam.ac.uk/phase-trans  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> Hi Professor Bhadeshia,  
>>  
>> I have been approached by Mr. Tim [last name removed], who says that he is an  
>> associate of yours, regarding testing of metal alloy samples to  
>> determine critical aspects pertaining to their composition and the  
>> technology required to produce them.  
>>  
>> Please let me know what interest you may have in this.  
>>  
>> Sincerely,  
>>  
>> Michael Horn  
>> Authorized American Media Representative  
>> The Billy Meier Contacts  
>> www.theyfly.com  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>>

>>

>>> Michael

>>>

>>> I refer you to my previous reply, and await the proof of little  
> green

>>> men that you claim to have, so that this discussion may progress.

>>>

>>> Alexi

>>>

>>> Michaelwrote:

>>> Application for \$1,000,000 Award from James Randi

>>> (And \$5,000 from CFI-West)

>>>

>>> I, Michael Horn, known to countless millions everywhere as the  
>>> unwavering (t)horn-in-the-side of pseudo-scientists, poseurs,  
>>> charlatans and frauds everywhere, do hereby make the following  
>>> predictions and submit them as proof of my paranormal abilities. In  
>> so

>>> doing, I am applying for all financial awards offered by the James  
>>> Randi Foundation, CFI-West and any and all other affiliated or  
>>> non-affiliated individuals, groups and organizations offering such  
>>> awards for proof of paranormal abilities:

>>>

>>> 1. I PREDICT that CFI-West will not submit their photographs, that  
>>> attempt to duplicate Mr. Billy Meier's UFO photos, to the same  
> known,

>>> specified, rigorous, scientific standards and protocols Mr. Meier's  
>>> were.

>>>

>>> 2. I PREDICT that James Randi will not demand that CFI-West have  
>> their

>>> pictures thusly tested in order to fulfill the challenge that

>> CFI-West

>>> accepted (February 2001), i.e. to duplicate Mr. Meier's "easily  
>>> duplicated hoaxes", as any objective, principled scientist would  
>>> emphatically demand.

>>>

>>> 3. I PREDICT that James Randi and CFI-West will continue to attempt  
>> to

>>> shift the focus of the original challenge away from CFI-West's  
>> failure

>>> to meet the challenge.

>>>

>>> 4. I PREDICT that these predictions will be fulfilled up to and

>>> including April 15, 2004, a very taxing day in itself.  
>>>  
>>> The odds against these predictions being fulfilled is actually  
>>> astronomical since no professional, reputable, credible,  
> scientific,  
>>> honest and genuinely objective entities would ever allow, encourage  
>> or  
>>> promote such dishonest, slimy and despicable conduct, (i.e.  
> avoidance  
>>> of fulfillment of the terms, and misdirection and obfuscation, of  
> the  
>>> challenge they accepted), as a means to avoid meeting its  
> obligations  
>>> to pay these awards to any parties who have demonstrated paranormal  
>>> evidence or abilities. Since there is an objective, scientific  
>> standard  
>>> for the paranormal, and since James Randi and CFI-West, among  
> others  
>>> have offered awards for proof of such, failing to meet the  
> challenge  
>>> that they accepted in regards to such evidence would constitute  
> proof  
>>> in and of itself.  
>>>  
>>> I will also, at my own expense, voluntarily promote, publicize and  
>>> announce the results of my challenge, unlikely as it may be that  
> such  
>>> predictions could, or would, be fulfilled.  
>>>  
>>> But, unimaginable as it may seem, should the above occur as I have  
>>> predicted, I would expect the promised sums and awards to be made  
>>> payable to me, Michael Horn, within 10 days of April 15, 2004.  
>>>  
>>> Signed,  
>>>  
>>> Michael Horn  
>>>  
>>> Accepted for CFI-West by: \_\_\_\_\_  
>>>  
>>> Accepted for the James Randi  
>>> Foundation: \_\_\_\_\_  
>>>  
>>> March 29, 2004  
>>>

>>>  
>>>> Michael  
>>>>  
>>>> CASE: photographic evidence of manually launched hub caps.  
>>>>  
>>>> CASE STATUS: STILL awaiting proof of Extra terrestrial origins.  
>>>>  
>>>> CONCLUSIONS / NOTES : In the absence of any compelling evidence  
> of  
>>>> little green men, we have to conclude that hub cap explanation is  
>>>> vastly more plausible than space alien theory (Occam's razor  
> etc).  
>>>>  
>>>> The ball is still firmly in your court, Michael. Or should I say  
>> that  
>>>> the Frisbee is still firmly in your area (hope it's not area 51,  
> ha  
>>>> ha). Look forward to hearing from you when you have some  
> evidence.  
>>>>  
>>>> Alexi  
>>>>  
>>>> Michaelwrote:  
>>>>  
>>>> Alexi  
>>>>  
>>>> You are a slow learner but that's no crime.  
>>>>  
>>>> One more time:  
>>>>  
>>>> Prove that they are hub caps.  
>>>> Use more than a "couple of minutes" to do your homework.  
>>>>  
>>>> Yes, you missed plenty:  
>>>>  
>>>> James Randi claimed that the Meier case is a hoax.  
>>>> James Randi withdrew that claim.  
>>>> James Randi offers big reward for proof of paranormal.  
>>>> If Meier case is not a hoax then it is paranormal.  
>>>> James Randi needs to write a check for \$1,000,000.  
>>>>  
>>>> CFI-West claimed Meier photos were hoaxed.  
>>>> CFI-West ACCEPTED challenge to duplicate them.  
>>>> CFI-West failed to duplicate them.

>>>> CFI\_West refused to have them tested.  
>>>> CFI-West owes Meier \$5,000.  
>>>>  
>>>> You have no arguments to make, you don't understand logic,  
>> protocols  
>>> or  
>>>> integrity, you only offer me an exercise in writing. I don't need  
>> any  
>>>> more exercise. You need education. Focus on that.  
>>>>  
>>>> MH  
>>>>  
>>>>> Michael  
>>>>>  
>>>>> The assertion regarding the origins of your photographed  
> objects  
>> is  
>>>>> mine, since it is the most logical and plausible one that I can  
>>> come  
>>>>> up with, after a couple of minutes consideration, why are you  
> so  
>>>>> desperate to attribute these objects to the little green men?  
>> you  
>>>> are  
>>>>> very sad. Have you ever watched the TV programme Space 1999?,  
>> they  
>>>> had  
>>>>> much better hub cap like objects than those portrayed in  
> Billy's  
>>>>> photos.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> I really don't follow the deluded, illogical argument that you  
>> are  
>>>>> using in order to claim that James Randi owes you money, I must  
>>> have  
>>>>> missed something. My sex is not really relevant to this  
>> discussion,  
>>>>> you really should concentrate on the arguments that I am  
> making.  
>>>>> However, if it really troubles you then it is male.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Alexi  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Michael wrote:

>>>>>  
>>>>> Alexi,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Please clarify. Are you, on behalf of Randi or yourself, again  
>>>>> CLAIMING  
>>>>> that Meier's photos are of "flying hub caps"? If so, please  
>>>>> substantiate, i.e. prove, your assertion. Apparently you are  
>>>>> strengthening my argument that Randi and company indeed HAVE  
>>> claimed  
>>>>> that the Meier case is a hoax. Since he retracted that claim he  
>> is  
>>>> now  
>>>>> obligated to pay up. Very simple. Put up or pay up.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> By the way, didn't you pay attention? I have already stated  
> that  
>>> they  
>>>>> shouldn't send a boy/girl to do a man's/woman's job.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> MH  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> Michael  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> If you want the million dollars, being offered by James  
> Randi,  
>>> why  
>>>>>> not  
>>>>>>> just PROVE, once and for all, that you, or Billy Meier, have  
>>>>>>> witnessed spaceships, originating from alien extra  
> terrestrial  
>>>>>>> civilisations. Photographs of flying hub caps do NOT prove  
> that  
>>>>>>> assertion. There is absolutely NO need for Mr Randi to prove  
>> OUT  
>>> of  
>>>>>>> existence something that you and your friends have not proved  
>>> INTO  
>>>>>>> existence in the first place.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Alexi  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Michael wrote:  
>>>>>>>

>>>>> To all of you who have been writing your concerns about the  
>>> ongoing  
>>>>> debate regarding the Billy Meier UFO Contacts and the  
>> challenges  
>>>> from  
>>>>> CFI-West and James Randi, this should end the debate and open  
>> up  
>>>>> entirely new levels of discussion. I wish to thank those of  
> you  
>>> who  
>>>>> have supported the authenticity of the case based on its  
> rather  
>>>>> substantial evidence and proof.  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> To those of you who have been skills for the hapless and  
> inept  
>>>>>> skeptics, please direct any further concerns regarding this  
>>> manner  
>>>> to  
>>>>>> Randi, as he has now effectively withdrawn his claims and, as  
>>> Desi  
>>>>>> often said to Lucy, he's "got some 'splainin' to do!". Since  
>>> Randi  
>>>>> now  
>>>>>> accepts the legitimacy of the Meier case, your issue is with  
>> him  
>>>> and  
>>>>> I  
>>>>>> will not respond further to any of your questions.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Any further information you may desire in order to educate  
>>>> yourselves  
>>>>>>> regarding the content of the Meier contacts can be found, for  
>>> free,  
>>>>>> at:  
>>>>>>> [www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com) Please feel free to post the following  
> widely:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> "Amazing" Randi Now Retracts Claim Meier UFO Case Hoaxed!  
> Will  
>>> Have  
>>>>>> to

>>>>> Pay \$1,000,000!  
>>>>>  
>>>>> [www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com)  
>>>>>  
>>>>> LOS ANGELES, CA - In an absolutely stunning reversal of  
>> position,  
>>>> one  
>>>>> that is sure to leave his skeptical cohorts in disarray and  
>> focus  
>>>> new  
>>>>> international attention on the case, noted children's  
> magician  
>>> and  
>>>>> professional skeptic the "Amazing" Randi, today effectively  
>>>> withdrew  
>>>>> his unsubstantiated, defamatory claims (made on Tuesday,  
> April  
>>> 15,  
>>>>> 2003) that the Billy Meier UFO contact case is a hoax.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> In correspondence received by Michael Horn, the Authorized  
>>> American  
>>>>>> Media Representative for the Billy Meier Contacts, on Sunday,  
>>> March  
>>>>>> 28,  
>>>>>> 2004, Randi clearly states, "... Now, Michael has divined  
> that  
>> I  
>>>>> called  
>>>>>> the Meier case a "hoax." His powers of perception are  
>> REMARKABLE;  
>>>> he  
>>>>>> finds things where they don't exist! Wonderful!"  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> The staggering implications to his credibility, and that of a  
>>> whole  
>>>>>>> extended team of professional skeptics and debunkers, who  
> have  
>>> been  
>>>>>>> publicly defaming Mr. Meier for years, is astounding. At  
> stake,  
>>> in  
>>>>>>> addition to their reputations, is an award by Randi of  
>> \$1,000,000

>>>> for  
>>>>> proof of the paranormal. Since Randi now withdraws his claim  
>> that  
>>>> the  
>>>>> Meier case isn't paranormal, it logically (and legally)  
> follows  
>>>> that  
>>>>> the award is now due Meier.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> While conceding the truthfulness of the Meier case, and  
> thereby  
>>>>>> obligating himself to a rather substantial pay out, Randi may  
>>> also  
>>>> be  
>>>>>> attempting to avoid having even more public attention  
> directed  
>> to  
>>>> the  
>>>>>> failure of his associates at CFI-West, the Los Angeles branch  
>> of  
>>>> the  
>>>>>> international professional skeptics' organization, to  
> duplicate  
>>> the  
>>>>>> Meier photographic evidence, a challenge accepted by CFI-West  
>> in  
>>>>>> February 2001.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Undoubtedly concerned by the international criticism  
> generated  
>> by  
>>>>>>> CFI-West representative Mr. Vaughn Rees' public refusal, in  
>> front  
>>>>> of  
>>>>>>> millions of listeners on the Art Bell radio show (March 7,  
>> 2004),  
>>>>> to  
>>>>>>> submit recently produced photos from CFI-West to the same  
>>>>> scientific  
>>>>>>> standards and testing Mr. Meier's were, Randi has effectively  
>>> ended  
>>>>> the  
>>>>>>> challenge to Mr. Meier.  
>>>>>>>

>>>>> It is clear now that after failing to sully Mr. Meier's  
>>> reputation  
>>>>> Randi has no other means of redeeming his own except by  
>>> forwarding  
>>>>> the  
>>>>> \$1,000,000 award to Mr. Meier. There is also a \$5,000 award  
> due  
>>> Mr.  
>>>>> Meier by CFI West since they have failed to duplicate Mr.  
>> Meier's  
>>>>> photos, which they called "easily duplicated hoaxes".  
>>>>>  
>>>>> More importantly, this remarkable development is sure to open  
>> the  
>>>>> eyes  
>>>>> of scientists and other interested people around the world to  
>> the  
>>>>> authenticity, and actual content, of the Billy Meier  
> contacts.